<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</p>

1/40

Semantic Compositional Networks for Visual Captioning

> Zhe Gan Advisor: Dr. Lawrence Carin

Ph.D. Preliminary Exam

April 7th, 2017

Outline



2 Proposed model





Problem of interest

- Can we build a model that is able to generate a natural sentence description of an input image/video?
- Intersection between CV and NLP
- Retrieval-based and template-based methods: *cannot* generate novel captions

Input image



Human captions:

- 1. a very cute brown dog with a disc in its mouth
- 2. a dog running in the grass with a frisbee in his mouth
- 3. a dog carrying a frisbee in its mouth running on a grass lawn
- 4. a dog in a grassy field carrying a frisbee
- 5. a brown dog walking across a green field with a frisbee in its mouth

Problem of interest

- Neural-network-based method: the encoder-decoder framework [10, 12] (by Google)
- Follow-up work: Standford [5], Berkeley [1], UCLA&Baidu [7], Montreal&Toronto [14], MSR [2], etc.



Review of RNN for image captioning

- Consider an image I, with associated caption X.
- Image I is often represented by a feature vector v(I), obtained by a pretrained CNN.
- $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T)$, with \mathbf{x}_t a 1-of-V ("one-hot") encoding vector.
- \mathbf{x}_t is linearly embedded into an n_x -dimensional real-valued vector $\mathbf{w}_t = \mathbf{W}_e \mathbf{x}_t$, where $\mathbf{W}_e \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times V}$ is a word embedding matrix (learned).

Review of RNN for image captioning

 $\bullet\,$ The probability of caption ${\bf X}$ given image feature vector ${\bf v}$ is

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{v}), \qquad (1)$$

• Each conditional $p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{< t}, \mathbf{v})$ is specified as softmax($\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}_t$)

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{h}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{v}) \tag{2}$$



6 / 40

Review of RNN for image captioning

- x₀ is defined as a special start-of-the-sentence token
- We also define a special end-of-the-sentence token
- Consider an RNN with a simple transition function $\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + l(t=1) \cdot \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{v}), \qquad (3)$$



<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の < で 7/40











Proposed model

- First do image tagging, then image captioning
 - Similiar ideas also used in [2] (by MSR), [13, 15].
- e How to integrate detected semantic concepts into the caption generation process
 - Our key contribution

Semantic concept detection

- First select a set of tags from the captions in the training set
 - Nouns: snow, man, dog, room, ocean etc.
 - Verbs: skiing, riding, brushing, holding, running etc.
 - Adjectives: white, cute, young, large, wooden etc.
- We treat image tagging as a multi-label classification task
- Let $\boldsymbol{y}_i = [y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iK}] \in \{0, 1\}^K$ be the label vector
 - $y_{ik} = 1$ if the image is annotated with tag k
 - $y_{ik} = 0$ otherwise.
- Let **v**_i represent the image feature vector

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(y_{ik} \log s_{ik} + (1-y_{ik}) \log(1-s_{ik}) \right), \quad (4)$$

• $\boldsymbol{s}_i = \sigma(f(\boldsymbol{v}_i))$ is the semantic feature vector.

Semantic concept detection: Examples



outdoor (0.998) mountain (0.973) person (0.93) man (0.829) grass (0.813) red (0.543) carrying (0.404) dirt (0.403) holding (0.356) riding (0.297)



table (0.996) pizza (0.996) food (0.989) indoor (0.976) sitting (0.926) wooden (0.655) slice (0.527) piece (0.506)

• Basic RNN:

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + l(t=1) \cdot \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{v}), \quad (5)$$

- How to assemble the meanings of individual tags to generate the caption?
- Simple solution: Feed the tags as an *initialization* step into the RNN decoder [13]

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{I}}(t=1) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}_{1}\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}_{2}\boldsymbol{s})), \quad (6)$$

• Better approach: Semantic Compositional Network (SCN)

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}(\boldsymbol{s})\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}(\boldsymbol{s})\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{I}}(t=1)\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}}\boldsymbol{v})\,. \tag{7}$$

• Semantic compositional network

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}(\boldsymbol{s})\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}(\boldsymbol{s})\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathit{I}}(t=1)\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Cv}}), \quad (8)$$

- Making **W**(s) and **U**(s) adaptive to the input image
- Training a *personalized* RNN for each input image
- How to design W(s) and U(s)?
 - **W**(*s*) and **U**(*s*) are ensembles of tag-dependent weight matrices, subjective to the probabilities that the tags are present in the image, according to the semantic-concept vector *s*.

- Given $\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$, we define two weight tensors $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h} \times n_{x} \times K}$ and $\boldsymbol{U}_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h} \times n_{h} \times K}$.
- $\mathbf{W}(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_h imes n_x}$ and $\mathbf{U}(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_h imes n_h}$ can be specified as

$$\mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{T}}[k], \, \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{T}}[k], \qquad (9)$$

- Can be interpreted as *jointly* training an ensemble of K RNNs in total.
- Though appealing, the number of parameters is proportional to K, which is prohibitive for large K (*e.g.*, K = 1000 for COCO).

 ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ We adopt ideas from [8] to factorize ${\sf W}(s)$ and ${\sf U}(s)$ as

$$\mathbf{W}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \mathbf{W}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{W}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\boldsymbol{s}) \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \qquad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{s}) = \mathbf{U}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{U}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\boldsymbol{s}) \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\boldsymbol{c}}, \qquad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h} \times n_{f}}$$
, $\mathbf{W}_{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{f} \times K}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{f} \times n_{x}}$. Similarly,
 $\mathbf{U}_{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h} \times n_{f}}$, $\mathbf{U}_{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{f} \times K}$ and $\mathbf{U}_{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{f} \times n_{h}}$.

- **W**_a and **W**_c are shared among all the captions, effectively capturing common linguistic patterns
- diag(W_bs), accounts for semantic aspects of the image under test, captured by s
- The RNN weight matrices that correspond to each semantic concept share "structure"

Semantic compositional network: SCN-RNN

• Let \boldsymbol{w}_{bk} represent the *k*th column of \mathbf{W}_b , then

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{T}}[k], \qquad (12)$$
$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} s_k [\mathbf{W}_a \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{w}_{bk}) \cdot \mathbf{W}_c]. \qquad (13)$$

In terms of implementation, we introduce *multiplicative* connections

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_b \boldsymbol{s} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_c \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} , \qquad (14)$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{t-1} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_b \boldsymbol{s} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_c \boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} \,, \tag{15}$$

$$\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{I}(t=1) \cdot \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{v} \,, \tag{16}$$

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{t} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}_{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{z}).$$
(17)

16 / 40

Semantic compositional network: Comparsion



■ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ つへで 17 / 40

Semantic compositional network: SCN-LSTM

- Computational complexity
 - The number of parameters in the basic RNN model is $n_h \cdot (n_x + n_h)$
 - The number of parameters in the SCN-RNN model is $n_f \cdot (n_x + 2K + 3n_h)$
 - In experiments, we set $n_f = n_h$. Therefore, the additional number of parameters is $2 \cdot n_h \cdot (n_h + K)$
- Remind that we are using simple RNN transition functions

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{a}\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{z})$$
(18)

 In order to capture long-term dependencies, we introduce Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) [4] units and generalize SCN-RNN to SCN-LSTM.

LSTM

- How to design $\boldsymbol{h}_t = \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{h}_{t-1})$?
- Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) [4]:
 - Learn to remember and forget adaptively

$$\mathbf{i}_{t} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \mathbf{U}_{i}\mathbf{h}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}_{i}), \qquad (19)$$

$$\boldsymbol{f}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_f \boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_f \boldsymbol{\mathsf{h}}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{b}}_f), \qquad (20)$$

$$\boldsymbol{o}_t = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_o \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_o \boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{b}_o), \qquad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{t} = \tanh(\boldsymbol{W}_{c}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{U}_{c}\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{b}_{c}), \qquad (22)$$

$$\boldsymbol{c}_t = \boldsymbol{f}_t \odot \boldsymbol{c}_{t-1} + \boldsymbol{i}_t \odot \tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_t , \qquad (23)$$

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = \boldsymbol{o}_t \odot \tanh(\boldsymbol{c}_t). \tag{24}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q (C) 19 / 40

Semantic compositional network: SCN-LSTM

We define
$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{h}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{s})$$
 as

$$\boldsymbol{i}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{ia}\boldsymbol{\tilde{x}}_{i,t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{ia}\boldsymbol{\tilde{h}}_{i,t-1} + \boldsymbol{z}), \qquad (25)$$

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{fa} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{f,t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{fa} \tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{f,t-1} + \boldsymbol{z}), \qquad (26)$$

$$\boldsymbol{o}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{oa}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{o,t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{oa}\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{o,t-1} + \boldsymbol{z}), \qquad (27)$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{t} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{ca}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{c,t-1} + \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{ca}\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{c,t-1} + \boldsymbol{z}), \qquad (28)$$

$$\boldsymbol{c}_t = \boldsymbol{i}_t \odot \boldsymbol{\tilde{c}}_t + \boldsymbol{f}_t \odot \boldsymbol{c}_{t-1}, \qquad (29)$$

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = \boldsymbol{o}_t \odot \tanh(\boldsymbol{c}_t), \qquad (30)$$

where $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{I}(t = 1) \cdot \mathbf{C}\mathbf{v}$. For $\star = i, f, o, c$, we define

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\star,t-1} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\star b} \boldsymbol{s} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{\star c} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} \,, \tag{31}$$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\star,t-1} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{\star b} \boldsymbol{s} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{U}}_{\star c} \boldsymbol{h}_{t-1} \,. \tag{32}$$

<ロ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ > < こ > へ ? 20 / 40

Conclusion

Semantic compositional network: Illustration



Semantic Composition



Influence the caption by changing the tag:

- 6. Replace "baby" with "girl": a little girl holding a toothbrush in her mouth
- 7. Replace "toothbrush" with "baseball": a baby holding a baseball bat in his hand
- 8. Replace "toothbrush" with "pizza": a baby holding a piece of pizza in his mouth

Extension to video captioning

- We use a two-dimensional (2D) and a three-dimensional (3D) CNN to extract visual features of video frames/clips
- We then perform a mean pooling process over all 2D CNN features and 3D CNN features, to generate two feature vectors (one from 2D CNN features and the other from 3D CNN features)
- The representation of each video is produced by concatenating these two features





2 Proposed model





Datasets

- COCO: 120K images
 - Each image is annotated with at least 5 captions.
 - Vocabulary size: 8791
 - Testing: 40K blind test
 - Training:
 - Official recommendation: 80K training, 40K development
 - Our setup: 110K training, 5K dev-validation, 5K dev-test
- Flickr30k: 30K images
 - 1000 for validation, 1000 for test, the rest for training
 - Vocabulary size: 7414
- Youtube2Text: 1970 Youtube clips
 - 1200 for training, 100 for validation, 670 for test
 - Vocabulary size: 12594

Setup

- For image representation, we use ResNet-152 [3], pretrained on the ImageNet dataset [9].
- For video representation, we also utilize a 3D CNN (C3D) [11], pretrained on Sports-1M video dataset [6].
- In testing, we use beam search for caption generation, and set the beam size to k = 5.

Quantitative results

Our SCN model achieves the state-of-the-art results.

Methods	COCO							
Wiethous	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	Μ	С		
NIC [48]	0.666	0.451	0.304	0.203	_	-		
m-RNN [29]	0.67	0.49	0.35	0.25	_	_		
Hard-Attention [52]	0.718	0.504	0.357	0.250	0.230	_		
ATT [54]	0.709	0.537	0.402	0.304	0.243	_		
Att-CNN+LSTM [49]	0.74	0.56	0.42	0.31	0.26	0.94		
LSTM-R	0.698	0.525	0.390	0.292	0.238	0.889		
LSTM-T	0.716	0.546	0.411	0.312	0.250	0.952		
LSTM-RT	0.724	0.555	0.419	0.316	0.252	0.970		
LSTM-RT ₂	0.730	0.568	0.430	0.322	0.249	0.977		
SCN-LSTM	0.728	0.566	0.433	0.330	0.257	1.012		
SCN-LSTM Ensemble of 5	0.741	0.578	0.444	0.341	0.261	1.041		

Quantitative results

Madal	BLEU-1		BLEU-2		BLEU-3		BLEU-4		METEOR		ROUGE-L		CIDEr-D	
Widder	c5	c40	c5	c40	c5	c40								
SCN-LSTM	0.740	0.917	0.575	0.839	0.436	0.739	0.331	0.631	0.257	0.348	0.543	0.696	1.003	1.013
ATT	0.731	0.900	0.565	0.815	0.424	0.709	0.316	0.599	0.250	0.335	0.535	0.682	0.943	0.958
OV	0.713	0.895	0.542	0.802	0.407	0.694	0.309	0.587	0.254	0.346	0.530	0.682	0.943	0.946
MSR Cap	0.715	0.907	0.543	0.819	0.407	0.710	0.308	0.601	0.248	0.339	0.526	0.680	0.931	0.937

Table 2: Comparison to published state-of-the-art image captioning models on the blind test set as reported by the COCO test server. SCN-LSTM is our model. ATT refers to ATT VC [54], OV refers to OriolVinyals [48], and MSR Cap refers to MSR Captivator [9].

Model	B-4	М	С
S2VT [46]	-	0.292	-
LSTM-E [32]	0.453	0.310	-
GRU-RCN [3]	0.479	0.311	0.678
h-RNN [56]	0.499	0.326	0.658
LSTM-R	0.448	0.310	0.640
LSTM-C	0.445	0.309	0.644
LSTM-CR	0.469	0.317	0.688
LSTM-T	0.473	0.324	0.699
LSTM-CRT	0.475	0.316	0.647
LSTM-CRT ₂	0.469	0.326	0.706
SCN-LSTM	0.502	0.334	0.770
SCN-LSTM Ensemble of 5	0.511	0.335	0.777

Table 3: Results on BLEU-4 (B-4), METEOR (M) and CIDEr-D (C) metrices compared to other state-of-the-art results and baselines on Youtube2Text.

28 / 40

э

Qualitative analysis

SCN can adjust the caption smoothly as the tags are modified.

	Tags: dog (1), grass (0.996), laying (0.97), outdoor (0.943), next (0.788), sitting (0.651), lying (0.542), white (0.507)	Tags: road (1), decker (1), double (0.999), bus (0.996), red (0.996), street (0.926), building (0.859), driving (0.796)			
Caption generated by our model:		Caption generated by our model:			
a dog laying on the ground next to a frisbee		a red double decker bus driving down a street			
Semantic composition:		Semantic composition:			
1. Replace "dog" with "cat":		1. Replace "red" with "blue":			
a white cat laying on the ground		a blue double decker bus driving down a street			
2. Replace "grass" with "bed":		2. Replace "bus" with "train":			
a white dog laying on top of a bed		a red train traveling down a city street			
3. Replace "grass" with "laptop":		3. Replace "road" and "street" with "ocean":			
a dog laying on the ground next to a laptop		a red bus is driving in the ocean			

Qualitative analysis

Importance of using detected tags



Tags:

book (1), shelf (1), table (0.965), sitting (0.955), person (0.955), library (0.908), room (0.829), front (0.464)

Generated captions:

LSTM-R: a young girl is playing a video game LSTM-RT: a group of people sitting at a table SCN-LSTM: two women sitting at a table in a library



Tags:

grass (1), red (0.982), fire (0.953), hydrant (0.852), dog (0.723), standing (0.598), next (0.476), field (0.341)

Generated captions:

LSTM-R: a dog that is sitting on the ground LSTM-RT₂: a dog standing next to a fire hydrant SCN-LSTM: a dog standing next to a red fire hydrant

Qualitative analysis

Importance of using visual features



Tags:

indoor (0.952), dog (0.828), sitting (0.647), stuffed (0.602), white (0.544), next (0.527), laying (0.509), cat (0.402)

Generated captions:

SCN-LSTM-T: a dog laying on top of a stuffed animal SCN-LSTM: a teddy bear laying on top of a stuffed animal



Tags:

snow(1), outdoor (0.992), covered (0.847), nature (0.812), skiing (0.61), man (0.451), pile (0.421), building (0.369)

Generated captions:

SCN-LSTM-T: a person that is standing in the snow **SCN-LSTM:** a stop sign is covered in the snow

Video captioning

a man is playing with a dog

the men are playing soccer

a girl is playing a guitar

a man is pushing a car

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

32 / 40

Conclusion

Image captioning in the wild



A tall tower with a clock on it



A group of people playing a game of basketball





A kitchen with a sink and a refrigerator

A plate of food on a table

Conclusion

Image captioning in the wild



A laptop computer sitting on top of a wooden desk



A statue of a horse in a field





A red stop sign sitting on the side of a road

A group of people sitting on a park bench

Outline



Proposed model





Summary and future work

Summary

- We propose SCN, which extends each weight matrix of the conventional LSTM to be a three-way matrix product, with one of these matrices dependent on the inferred tags.
- SCN can be viewed an ensemble of tag-dependent LSTM bases
- We achieve state-of-the-art results
- Future work
 - Using adversarial loss (GAN) instead of cross-entropy loss (MLE)
 - Joint image captioning and text to image synthesis

Backup: LSTM

- Input gate: scales input to cell (write)
- Output gate: scales output from cell (read)
- Forget gate: scales old cell value (reset)



References I

	Jeffrey Donahue, Lisa Anne Hendricks, Sergio Guadarrama, Marcus Rohrbach, Subhashini Venugopalan,
	Kate Saenko, and Trevor Darrell.
	Long-term recurrent convolutional networks for visual recognition and description. In CVPR, 2015.
	Hao Fang, Saurabh Gupta, Forrest Iandola, Rupesh K Srivastava, Li Deng, Piotr Dollár, Jianfeng Gao,
	Xiaodong He, Margaret Mitchell, John C Platt, et al.
	From captions to visual concepts and back. In CVPR, 2015.
	Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun.
	Deep residual learning for image recognition.
	In CVPR, 2016.
	Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber.
	Long short-term memory.
_	Neural computation, 1997.
	Andrej Karpathy and Li Fei-Fei.
	Deep visual-semantic alignments for generating image descriptions.
	III CVFR, 2015.
	Andrej Karpathy, George Toderici, Sanketh Shetty, Thomas Leung, Rahul Sukthankar, and Li Fei-Fei.
	Large-scale video classification with convolutional neural networks.
	In CVPR, 2014.

References II



Junhua Mao, Wei Xu, Yi Yang, Jiang Wang, Zhiheng Huang, and Alan Yuille.

Deep captioning with multimodal recurrent neural networks (m-rnn). In *ICLR*, 2015.



Roland Memisevic and Geoffrey Hinton.

Unsupervised learning of image transformations. In CVPR, 2007.



Olga Russakovsky, Jia Deng, Hao Su, Jonathan Krause, Sanjeev Satheesh, Sean Ma, Zhiheng Huang, Andrej Karpathy, Aditya Khosla, Michael Bernstein, et al. Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge. *IJCV*, 2015.



Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V Le.

Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In *NIPS*, 2014.



D. Tran, L. Bourdev, R. Fergus, L. Torresani, and M. Paluri.

Learning spatiotemporal features with 3d convolutional networks. In *ICCV*, 2015.



Oriol Vinyals, Alexander Toshev, Samy Bengio, and Dumitru Erhan.

Show and tell: A neural image caption generator. In CVPR, 2015.



Qi Wu, Chunhua Shen, Lingqiao Liu, Anthony Dick, and Anton van den Hengel.

What value do explicit high level concepts have in vision to language problems? In CVPR, 2016.

References III

Kelvin Xu, Jimmy Ba, Ryan Kiros, Kyunghyun Cho, Aaron Courville, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Richard S Zemel, and Yoshua Bengio. Show, attend and tell: Neural image caption generation with visual attention. In ICML, 2015.



Quanzeng You, Hailin Jin, Zhaowen Wang, Chen Fang, and Jiebo Luo.

Image captioning with semantic attention. In CVPR, 2016.